

The Poor law report of 1909; a summary explaining the defects of the present system and the principal recommendations of the Commission, so far as related to England and Wales. By Helen Bosanquet.

Bosanquet, Helen Dendy, 1860-1925.
London, Macmillan & co., limited, 1911.

<https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc2.ark:/13960/t0rr1rp90>

HathiTrust



www.hathitrust.org

Public Domain

http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd

We have determined this work to be in the public domain, meaning that it is not subject to copyright. Users are free to copy, use, and redistribute the work in part or in whole. It is possible that current copyright holders, heirs or the estate of the authors of individual portions of the work, such as illustrations or photographs, assert copyrights over these portions. Depending on the nature of subsequent use that is made, additional rights may need to be obtained independently of anything we can address.

Generated at Stanford University on 2020-10-27 03:36 GMT / Public Domain / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd / <https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc2.ark:/13960/t0rr1rp90>

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO

3 1822 02244 9847



nia
l

LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF
CALIFORNIA
SAN DIEGO

Library

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO



3 1822 02244 9847

THE POOR LAW REPORT
of 1909

Generated at Stanford University on 2020-10-27 03:36 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc2.ark:/13960/t0rr1rp90
Public Domain / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd



MACMILLAN AND CO., LIMITED

LONDON . BOMBAY . CALCUTTA
MELBOURNE

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY

NEW YORK . BOSTON . CHICAGO
ATLANTA . SAN FRANCISCO

THE MACMILLAN CO. OF CANADA, LTD.

TORONTO

THE
POOR LAW REPORT
OF 1909

*A Summary Explaining the Defects of the
Present System and the Principal Recom-
mendations of the Commission, so far as
relates to England and Wales.*

BY
HELEN BOSANQUET

MACMILLAN AND CO., LIMITED
ST. MARTIN'S STREET, LONDON

1911

THE
POOR LAW REPORT

OF 1909

A Summary Explaining the Details of the
Present System and the Principal Re-
formations of the Commission as far as
relates to England and Wales.

RICHARD CLAY AND SONS, LIMITED,
BRUNSWICK STREET, STAMFORD STREET, S.E.,
AND BUNGAY, SUFFOLK.

First Edition, February, 1909.
Reprinted, March and August, 1909.
Shilling Edition, 1911.

HELEN BOSANQUET

MACMILLAN AND CO. LIMITED
ST. MARTIN'S STREET, LONDON

PREFACE

IN attempting to summarise and explain within moderate compass the main recommendations of the Report of the Poor Law Commission and the grounds upon which they are based, it has been necessary to make considerable omissions. In order that the reader may know in general what has been omitted I have appended a table showing the contents of the Report itself, but will also call attention to the chief points here. In the first place, I have refrained from introducing many technical details, which, however important in themselves and to those actually engaged in administration, seemed not essential to the understanding of the general position. In the second place, I have also refrained, and this time with more reluctance, from attempting to summarise those sections of the Report which are mainly historical, or descriptive of conditions outside the immediate sphere of the Poor Law itself. More especially of importance are Chapter I of Part VI. and Part VII. The first of these contains in a concise and interesting form a mass of information

concerning industrial developments since 1834, which is here for the first time made accessible in a consecutive narrative, and is of special significance in connection with the history and present position of unemployment. The second, Part VII., which is a comprehensive survey of the charities of the country and their administration, is no less important from the point of view of the charitable relief of distress ; and if the reader of the following chapters fails to find them convincing, he may confidently look for their fuller justification in the Report itself. The marginal references are to the Parts, chapters and paragraphs of the Report.

Notwithstanding all omissions, however, I hope that I may have succeeded in making clear the main intention of the Report. That intention, in few words, is to substitute a vital and organic system of combined public and voluntary assistance for the mechanical routine of the Poor Law on the one hand, and the confused chaos of charity on the other. If this intention is to be carried into effect it can only be by the combined effort of all who are interested in bringing help and strength to the poorer and weaker members of the community.

OXSHOTT,
February, 1909.

CONTENTS

	PAGE
CHAPTER I	
THE PROBLEM	I
CHAPTER II	
STATISTICS AND CAUSES OF PAUPERISM	13
CHAPTER III	
THE AGED	43
CHAPTER IV	
THE CHILDREN	64
CHAPTER V	
THE ABLE-BODIED	90
CHAPTER VI	
THE PUBLIC ASSISTANCE AUTHORITY	144
CHAPTER VII	
THE USE AND ABUSE OF "OUTDOOR RELIEF"	169
CHAPTER VIII	
MEDICAL RELIEF	208
CHAPTER IX	
DETENTION—UNMARRIED MOTHERS—INSURANCE—DIS- FRANCHISEMENT	241
APPENDIX I.—CONTENTS OF THE REPORT OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION ON POOR LAWS, 1909	261
APPENDIX II.—LIST OF APPENDIX VOLUMES TO THE REPORTS OF THE POOR LAW COMMISSION FOR ENGLAND AND WALES, SCOTLAND, AND IRELAND	264

THE POOR LAW REPORT OF 1909

CHAPTER I

THE PROBLEM

WHAT is the Poor Law, and who are the people to whom it applies?

Every civilised country has a different answer to give to this question, but in their main outlines all answers will coincide.

In the first place, the law which we in England call the Poor Law, but which receives more appropriate names in other countries, is that law which regulates the administration or distribution of assistance from public funds to private individuals on the ground of their failure to provide for themselves.

In the second place, the ultimate fact upon which all systems of public assistance are based is the fact that there are in every country persons who, for one reason or another, are found to be without the necessaries of life, whether provided by their

B

own exertions or by their friends and relations. In the absence of any legal provision, these persons either die or are maintained by the charity of the benevolent. In most Christian communities it has originally been considered that the charity either of private persons or of religious communities should be sufficient to meet the need, and under these conditions begging has become a recognised means of obtaining a living. In a small community, where begging is only an appeal from one neighbour to another, the arrangement may serve well enough ; but it leads to intolerable evils, both of fraud and neglected suffering, where it prevails in larger communities ; and sooner or later it has always been considered necessary for the law to intervene and regularise the situation. That has been done by defining where the responsibility for the maintenance of these people lies, and by making the responsibility one to be enforced by law.

In England the duty was laid in the first instance upon the family, and failing this upon the parish, until at the beginning of last century it was found that for many reasons the parish was too small an area for effective administration. Under the Poor Law Amendment Act of 1834 parishes were then grouped into unions, and each

union of parishes became responsible first for administering, and finally for providing, the necessary funds within their area. Since then the responsibility of *administration* has remained with the union, but there has been a constant tendency to widen the area of financial responsibility by means of subsidies from various sources until at the present time the percentage of expenditure on poor relief which is not met from local sources is about 20 per cent. In London the tendency has been pushed so far that 70 per cent. of the total expenditure by Boards of Guardians is now borne by the grants made out of sums raised by Imperial taxation or other receipts in aid, or by rates levied throughout London in the proportion of the rateable value of the unions.

Thus the legal responsibility lies in the first place upon the individual—if capable—to maintain himself; in the second place it lies upon his family; and failing both these it lies ultimately upon the ratepayers of the union and county, and the taxpayers of the country.

Now at first sight it seems obvious that it is to the interest of the community that the number of those who are dependent upon public assistance should be as small as possible. And ultimately, also, this is indisputable: the community, from an

4 THE POOR LAW REPORT CHAP.

economic point of view, is only the sum of its members, and if half of these fail to maintain themselves, the other half will have twice as many to maintain. But the statement needs modifying in its immediate application. It pays the community far better in the long run to gather in the waifs and strays of children for whom no one is caring, than to leave them to grow up as loafers and criminals. It would pay it far better, again, to maintain its loafers and vagrants in labour colonies, where they could be made to do some work before eating, than to leave them to maintain themselves by begging and theft. But unless a community is to become bankrupt it must keep steadily in view the importance of enforcing upon the individual the primary duty of being self-supporting.

It is this which constitutes one of the chief difficulties of any scheme of public assistance. The mere fact that a provision exists for those who fail in this primary duty causes many to fail who might otherwise have succeeded. It is difficult for anyone not intimately acquainted with Poor Law administration to realise that many of those who claim relief are suffering from sheer laziness. The workhouse inmate who declared that "So long as I can get sixteen ounces of pie

for my dinner, and my two children kept for life, and they don't ask me to do any more than polish the stair bannisters, I'm not going to work," was perhaps more outspoken, but not more lazy, than many another of his kind. Nor is it widely enough known how many who are incapable are so through their wilful violation of the laws of sobriety and morality. It is not of course true that poverty is always a crime; the sternest administrator has never thought or said so. But the poverty which is due to excess or self-indulgence *is* a crime, and should be more frankly recognised as such.

Thus the problem of public assistance presents itself as the problem of offering help in such a way as to diminish rather than to increase the number of those requiring it. There are two lines of policy which offer a solution of the problem—the one to make the conditions of assistance so disagreeable that no one will accept them who can possibly help it; the other to make the assistance so effective that it will ultimately remove the cause of distress. There have been times in the history of the Poor Law when both these considerations were practically in abeyance, when relief was given on easy terms to anyone who demanded it, while yet there was no effective assistance such as could restore the recipients to independence.

But the true test of good administration is the degree of success which it achieves in combining a policy which shall not encourage laziness and self-indulgence with one which shall be really remedial in the assistance afforded. It fails when it is attractive to the profligate, but it fails no less when it is deterrent to those who might be restored by its services to health and independence.

How far does the Poor Law, as administered in England to-day, satisfy this test?

The answer will be given more in detail in subsequent chapters. Here we may note certain broad conclusions from facts which have revealed themselves in the course of the enquiry.

1. With respect to certain classes of the children the administrators of the Poor Law may claim a very remarkable success, in the sense that those of whom they have taken entire charge, and for whom they have made special provision, have been almost entirely rescued from the pauper class and given a fair start to a healthy and independent life.

There are, however, other and larger classes of children dependent upon public assistance for whom little attempt has been made to secure that they are properly brought up, and it is to be feared that many of these will contribute to the pauperism of the next generation (see Ch. 4).

2. With regard to the sick, again, very marked success has attended the constant efforts of the Poor Law authorities in many places to improve the treatment of patients who enter their institutions. If the treatment of out-door patients has been less emphatically successful, it has been largely due to the difficulty of enforcing proper conditions in the home (see Ch. 8).

3. In our treatment of the aged, also, both success and failure have to be recorded. Of those in institutions some find comfort and luxury far beyond the attainment of the independent members of their own class, others lead monotonous and dejected lives in costly buildings under extravagant management, and others again are dreary in dreary surroundings. Amongst those receiving out-relief the discrepancies are even greater ; many are half-starved on an utterly inadequate allowance, while many more who have no need of public assistance at all receive allowances at the expense of neighbours who are more independent but hardly better off (see Ch. 3).

4. The most serious failure in recent years has been in dealing with the able-bodied. Here the policy of the authorities has aimed at being deterrent, and its failure has been twofold. It has failed conspicuously to deter the idle and worthless

a
b. who are indifferent to humiliation so long as they are well-fed; while its failure to make separate provision for the more respectable has given rise to the spasmodic and ill-regulated attempts of amateur agencies to supply the deficiency (see Ch. 5).

The fact that success and failure are so mingled suggests that the defects to be remedied must be sought more in the administration of the law than in the law itself; hence it is the administration which the Commissioners in their recommendations mainly seek to improve. If all Boards of Guardians had been working as well as the best, there would probably have been no demand for an enquiry, and little need of change. But in a subsequent chapter we shall see how very far that is from being the case, and what imperative need there is in many places to find administrators who will be more disinterested, more honest, and more intelligent.

There is no doubt that the work is one of great difficulty. The population which comes within the scope of the Poor Law is made up of the most heterogeneous elements. Individuals of every age, of every shade of character, of every degree of physical or moral incapacity, with every variety of disease or disability, are all brought together by

the one common fact that they demand to be maintained at the cost of the community. It has been argued by some members of the Commission that the differences are so great as to outweigh the common element, and that the responsibility for providing for each particular need should be assigned to a special authority, regardless of whether the people to be provided for were to be maintained by the ratepayers or not. Thus, the children would be assigned to the Education authority and the sick to the Sanitary authority. The majority of the Commission hold, on the contrary, that the common element^① is so important as to justify—indeed, to necessitate—the existence of a special law to authorise and regulate the relief of these people, and of a special authority to apply and to administer that law. When an individual claims to be maintained^② at the cost of the community, it is clear that the claim cannot be an unconditional one. No State has ever yet offered, or ever can offer, free maintenance to everyone who likes to apply for it, whatever his circumstances may be; and it becomes necessary that every claim should be immediately submitted to a judicial authority which will decide whether the applicant is entitled to receive public assistance. Upon this authority there naturally devolves also the duty of

Minority Proposal

But of charge & Recovery

① *preliminary need?*

② *the essence of the Minority idea is, the ques isn't a simple one a "minority side"*

② the separate authority shd be a non-specialised one

10 THE POOR LAW REPORT CHAP.

deciding what form the assistance to be given shall take. In doing this it has to bear in mind the interests both of the recipient and of the community at whose expense he is asking to be maintained. It does not follow that the man who asks for help necessarily knows what will help him most ; the fact that he has failed to maintain his independence often means that he does *not* know. What is needed is a disinterested authority, practised in looking at all sides of a question, and able to call in skilled assistance. The specialist is too apt to see only what interests him to be a safe guide in the first instance. For the community, again, the paramount interest is that those who are incapable of maintaining themselves should be as few as possible ; and, as we have already said, it is the duty of the administrator on the one hand to endeavour to remedy real incapacity, on the other hand to discourage wilful incapacity. By wilfully incapable persons we mean those who wantonly destroy their powers of work by self-indulgence, or wilfully refuse to exercise them because they prefer to be kept by the work of others. The number of those persons is increased at once by a relaxation in the vigilance of the

③ employing method of discrimination and adjudication" [= skilled officials + less elig]

I

THE PROBLEM

11

administrator. Hence arises the necessity both of employing skilled officials to ascertain the true circumstances of every applicant, and of guarding against the danger that dependence should be made more attractive than independence. The majority of the Commission are convinced that it would be quite impracticable for the Education authority and the Sanitary authority to carry out these duties of discrimination and adjudication effectually, and that it is necessary that there should continue to be a special authority for the purpose. Moreover, and this should be carefully noted, it is more than doubtful whether the "specialised services" would do the work even as well as it is now being done in many unions. It is very largely due to failure of the Education authorities to train the children under their care that so many seek help in after life from sheer incapacity to do anything useful. And it is very largely due to grave neglect of the Sanitary authorities to fulfil their present duties that so many victims of ill-health are reduced to pauperism. It would be folly to throw back upon proved incompetency the task of restoring the victims of that incompetency. It needs highly-skilled workers, practised in dealing with specially difficult cases, and qualified by

training and experience for the task of restoration ; it needs, in short, officers such as those in charge of the best Poor Law schools and infirmaries.

Since 1834 the special authority for administering the Poor Law has been the Board of Guardians in each union, elected by the ratepayers, and working under the general supervision and control of the Local Government Board. The Commission recommends great changes in the constitution of this authority, and in order to understand their recommendations and the reasons for them it is necessary to describe in more detail the actual work done by the Guardians and the condition of the people under their care. In ascertaining this the Commissioners have not been content to take the evidence of other people only ; they have been at great pains to see for themselves so far as that was practicable in the limited time at their disposal. They have visited unions in all parts of the country, they have attended Board Meetings and Relief Committees, they have seen institutions of all types, and have talked with the recipients of out-relief in their own homes. Thus they may fairly claim to have a first-hand general knowledge of the working and effect of the Poor Law as at present administered.

CHAPTER II

STATISTICS AND CAUSES OF PAUPERISM

THE population dealt with yearly by the Poor Law is large, and constantly changing. It is generally reckoned for the purposes of official statistics by returns from each union, which are combined to show the total number of persons relieved on certain selected days. Of these returns, the most detailed are those which give the numbers relieved on January 1st and July 1st in each year. The summer pauperism is always considerably lower than the winter, and in order to obtain an estimate of the general level of pauperism throughout the year, the practice is to take the mean of these two returns as representing the average pauperism for the year to which they relate. The result obtained in this way for 1907 by combining the January and July counts was 793,519: a population considerably larger than

II. 16, 17 they receive. Of the whole population receiving assistance on January 1st, 1908, rather less than a third were in institutions, and rather more than two-thirds were receiving out-door relief. But while more than half the men were in institutions, four-fifths of the women and three-fourths of the children were receiving out-door relief. To a small extent this may be due to reluctance to grant out-door relief to able-bodied men, but the excess was mainly amongst the old. The greater facility with which elderly men enter the workhouse than do elderly women is very striking; when visiting the workhouses we nearly always found in them twice as many old men as old women. The explanation was generally the same—that the old men gave up sooner, while the old women could keep their little homes nice, and even earn a few pence to supplement the out-relief, to a much greater age.

II. 24 To some extent this excess of men in Poor Law institutions is of recent growth. A table in paragraph 24 of Part II. shows that while female and child pauperism have both decreased during the last thirty years, male pauperism has actually increased. Several causes are suggested which may have contributed to this increase, but the only one which would apply solely (or mainly) to

ated by evidence of a more concrete and detailed kind. It gives the clue to the policy elaborated by the Commissioners and expressed in their recommendations. "While the rise in expenditure was accompanied by a diminution in pauperism it was possible to regard it with some degree of acquiescence. It is worth while to pay highly for the restoration of paupers to independence. But there are indications that the present administration has reached the limits of its remedial powers, and needs once more to be reinforced. It must, we are convinced, extend its policy both of cure and of prevention still further, and it is in this sense that we have made our recommendations."

If this policy of cure and of prevention is to become a reality, it is essential that far more account should be taken in future of the causes of pauperism than has been done in the past. The Commission have not been able to make a detailed study of this branch of the subject—that can only be done by local administrators in actual touch with the people. But they have received a large amount of evidence on the question from persons well qualified from various points of view to form a judgment ; they have also caused special investigations to be made on certain points, and they have

it a condition of their assistance that those precautions shall be taken.

Speaking generally, any form of illness which is severe and prolonged tends to exhaust the resources of the family, especially when it is the wage-earner who suffers. But how far provision might be made, and is made, against illness without having recourse to the rates will be considered in Chapter 8.

Indirectly, as giving rise to illness, bad housing conditions and bad sanitation are amongst the causes which conduce to pauperism. But houses are often insanitary more from the habits of the people living in them than from any structural defect, and it is not easy to assign the chief weight of the responsibility. The investigators appointed to report to us on the Relation of Industrial and Sanitary Conditions to Pauperism sum up on this point :

IV. 10,537 “Housing conditions produce or aggravate certain illnesses. From persons sick with such illnesses many applications are received for relief. Again, housing conditions produce or aggravate certain demoralised types of character, as it is demoralised persons of such a kind who form a large proportion of paupers. Beyond this it is difficult to go in the matter of direct proof. . . . At the same time our conviction as to the importance of housing remains unaltered.”

labour market.” It is in this connection that we must probably seek the reason why our enormous expenditure upon elementary education has had so little effect in checking pauperism.

II. 139. “In the year 1871, the expenditure upon elementary education was slightly in excess of two millions, of which some £550,000 was met by school fees. In 1905–6, the latest year for which the particulars are available, the expenditure had risen to about twenty millions, whilst approximately another three millions were expended upon various forms of higher education. A generation has elapsed since elementary education became universal, and the benefits to be derived from the system should now be accruing to the nation. Persons now above fifty years of age have not, it is true, participated in the advantages conferred in 1870, but of persons below that age we have shown that there is no diminution in the number coming upon the Poor Laws.”

Another cause of pauperism which cannot be omitted is the prevalence of a great amount of harmful charity. When wisely administered, charity should be, and sometimes is, of the greatest value in rendering timely assistance which may prevent the recipients from falling into permanent dependence. Unfortunately it too often has

not unfrequently happens that a new Board of Guardians, being without any experience to guide them, will greatly increase the pauperism in their district until they have learned wisdom. The following instance was mentioned by a Guardian :

“ . . . one ward in the Leeds Union doubled its outdoor relief during the first year after the present board came in. There was some exceptional distress, but not anything in proportion to the increase of outdoor relief. The same ward has gradually been diminishing its out-relief for the last two years, although worked by the same guardians—and they themselves have acknowledged that the policy of the first year was unwise.”

Another case in point is that of Bermondsey :

V. 10, 565

“ There was there a steady rise in the number of paupers from 4,274 in 1891, to 5,552 in 1896, and 6,416 in 1901, while during the same period the outdoor relief increased from £11,200 to £23,000. In 1902 the Board appointed a superintendent relieving officer, since when :

“ There has been a gradual decrease in outdoor relief, due principally to the diligent inquiries made by relieving officers into the applications for relief, the aid of the case-paper system, and the more efficient administration.”

Sometimes the harm is done, not by inexperience, but as the result of a deliberate policy. This has been the case in Poplar, where between 1895 and 1905 the number of paupers was increased from

CHAPTER III

THE AGED

THE most permanent, as well as the largest, section of the pauper population, consists naturally of the aged and those permanently incapacitated for work. For these there is seldom any prospect of regaining their independence if they have once become dependent upon Poor Law relief. By degrees a certain number of the old will be transferred to the list of pensioners, but this is only transference from one form of public assistance to another; and old age pensions will not affect those who are permanently invalided at an earlier age. The only method by which this class can be helped to retain independence is by a scheme of invalidity insurance which would cover all inability to work, whether due to sickness or old age, up to the pension age. For this purpose we should need to know the number, not so much of the "aged" as of those incapacitated from work.

is increasing rapidly. This is more especially true of the towns, and the difference is not always wholly due to the policy of the guardians; where, *e.g.*, house accommodation is scarce and rents high, the old people may be forced to take refuge in the workhouse for lack of suitable house-room outside. Generally speaking, however, it may be said that in some Unions, according to the habit of the Guardians, an allowance will be given almost for the asking, while in others careful enquiry will be made into the circumstances of the applicant, and if it is thought wiser help will be offered in the form of maintenance in an institution.

The distribution of old-age pauperism itself depends partly upon this question of the policy (or habit) of the Guardians. Naturally there tends to be more paupers in a place where relief is granted easily and as a matter of course, than in a place where the applicant is expected to prove his need. But other conditions have also to be taken into consideration. The fact that the greatest proportion of old age pauperism is to be found in rural districts is largely due to the fact that the greatest proportion of old people is to be found in rural districts. The young have moved away to seek work in the towns, and have neglected to provide for the parents they have left behind.

IV. 7, 337 greater freedom of egress, etc. In Sheffield they have a classification in as many as four classes, based on a combination of length of residence and character. It is very doubtful whether such elaborate classification as this can be carried out without favouritism or injustice. It is no doubt very desirable to separate those who are noisy and objectionable in language and habits from the more respectable and quiet ; and it is a useful aid to discipline to be able to degrade a refractory inmate to a lower class ; but it is probable that too great weight has been attached to "classification" of the old on moral grounds. Certainly the happiness of the old people appears to depend far more upon the nature of the administration—the kindness of the master and matron, or the interest taken in them by the Guardians, than upon any scheme of classification, however elaborate.

Another important factor in the happiness of the inmates is that the institution they inhabit should be small and home-like. It is not in the large and expensive institutions that the old people are most at home and comfortable. An old couch on which the more frail may take an occasional rest during the day has far more real luxury than glittering electric lights and lengths of shining corridors ; and a bright fire in a small

is bound to step in. The Commission believe IV. 7, 358
“that if the position is clearly defined and a
consistent policy laid down, both as to pensions
and Poor Law relief, the natural feeling between
parents and children will again assert itself.”

of removing children from workhouse surroundings.

IX. 83 The Commission's recommendations on this point are explicit :—

“ We are strongly of opinion that effective steps should be taken to secure that the maintenance of children in the workhouse be no longer recognised as a legitimate way of dealing with them. We put this in the forefront of our recommendations.”

And with regard to the children of vicious parents :

IX. 89 “ We think that the power to adopt children of vicious parents should be more frequently exercised and accompanied by a stricter dealing with the parent, and that the Public Assistance Authorities should in future retain supervision of adopted children up to the age of twenty-one.”

For the most part this policy of removing children from the workhouse has been followed with success, and the difficulty has become one of restraining Boards of Guardians from launching out into unnecessary and wasteful expenditure. This is especially the case with what are known as “grouped cottage homes.” When the system was first introduced it was intended that these

homes should really be cottages, so that the children's life in them should approximate as far as possible to those of the children of the independent working man. How far this intention has been lost sight of is shown in the fact that some of these institutions have cost as much as £289 per child to build, whilst others have cost as little as £42 12s. Nor have we found that the less costly and pretentious institutions are in any way less efficient as regards the welfare of the children brought up in them. Indeed, it has been fairly argued by an experienced witness that the life in some of the most progressive, or perhaps, we should rather say, fashionable schools, is not really a suitable preparation for the children, and is likely to make their after life seem harder than it need. IV. 8, 375

“It must be rather difficult for a girl who has spent her leisure time at school in playing hockey, croquet and organised games, who has been taken on half-holidays to places of interest, and has had free access to a library and recreation rooms, to settle down to an ordinary servant's work. A child in its own home has between school hours to help in various ways and learns early the necessity of real work, and has experience of the cost of clothing and food.”

It is sometimes argued that the greater capital expenditure in erecting these places is compensated

for by a diminished annual expenditure through the introduction of labour-saving apparatus, &c. As a matter of fact the annual cost seems to increase almost in proportion to the magnificence of the building. In the Bermondsey cottage homes the cost per child per week, including all charges, comes to 20s. 1d.; and there are others where it is not much lower.

The large institutions known as District or Associated Schools have never been very popular, and have recently fallen into disfavour owing to an adverse report from a Committee appointed to consider the subject in 1894. There is reason to think that this Committee's Report was unduly severe, but it led to some of the London schools being broken up at a cost of £700,000, and the number of children maintained in this type of school has fallen from 7,358 in 1895 to 3,591 in 1907. Nevertheless some of our most experienced witnesses think that these large schools are best adapted for the type of children to be educated :

IV. 8, 367
IV. 8, 368 “The children who come under the care of the Poor Law have, as a rule, been neglected and subjected to bad example in such moral faults as dishonesty, intemperance, idleness, lying and the like, and the reshaping of their characters needs expert handling. This, as it seems to me, can best be secured from experienced teachers and attendants in the Associated Schools.

"The bedrooms of Cottage Homes are liable to be sources of moral contamination. Too much importance cannot be attached to the grave danger incurred by placing a few children alone in a bedroom. There cannot be proper supervision, and it is not within the power of the most watchful 'Mother' so to classify her children as to guard against mischief. Many of the children in the Poor Law schools come from the lowest surroundings, where they have passed through experiences and witnessed scenes the description of which must be demoralising to the children who have been more carefully guarded. In the large dormitories of the Associated Schools such undesirable conversation is less likely. There are attendants about, and there is the feeling that out of so many one might tell."

The third type of institution is that known as the "Scattered Home." The object of these is to avoid massing the children together in large numbers, and houses are taken in different parts of the town in which groups of children—preferably not more than eight or nine—are placed with a foster mother. They go to school in the public elementary schools and are brought up as far as possible in a natural home life. The success of the system depends upon the skill with which the foster mother is selected, and upon adequacy of supervision. Its chief advantages are its approximation to a normal life for the children, and absence of the large capital expenditure which

characterises and tends to stereotype the two former methods.

The Commission, after seeing all types of institutions, and examining into the condition of their children, came to the conclusion that much more depends upon the nature of the administration than upon the particular system adopted. They also received strong evidence to the same effect. One witness who has had a wide experience of girls coming from each type of institution says :

IV. 8, 370 "There is no doubt that there are advantages peculiar to every way in which the Poor Law children can be maintained — boarding-out, 'barrack' schools, cottage homes, scattered homes—all have their various merits. The success or failure of any system must depend entirely on the people by whom it is administered."

The Association of Superintendents of Poor Law Schools maintain the same :

"With reference to the question of the different systems of arranging for the care of the Poor Law child, it is not a question of system, but of administration. The infallible test of assessing the value of any arrangement is the examination of those living under the arrangement. It is impossible for a badly ordered barrack, block or cottage to produce alert, cheerful, upstanding and responsive boys and girls."

Finally, in a Report on the educational work in

treatment ; and they share in none of the special advantages enjoyed in Poor Law schools."

In England there are really two systems under which children are boarded out. The one which is most known and talked about is that under which committees of ladies are formed in country districts, who undertake to find suitable homes in their neighbourhood and to supervise the children sent to them. The children come from other unions, and are paid for by the Guardians sending them at the rate of 4*s.* to 5*s.* a week. The committees and homes are further supervised and inspected by lady inspectors under the Local Government Board. Great precautions are thus taken to secure that the children are properly looked after, and there is no doubt that in the majority of cases the system acts well. Nevertheless, the number of children boarded out under this system is small, and tends rather to diminish than to increase ; on January 1st, 1908, it was only 1,876. The reason appears to lie partly in the limited number of children who can be dealt with in this way. It is not considered well to send them below the age of two nor above the age of ten ; and foster-parents object to children with parents living who may make rival claims upon them. Partly, again, there appears to be a serious

IV. 8, 386

or four years after leaving. "It is not sufficient to send a child of fourteen to a situation which may prove unsuitable, and leave it there to look after itself. Children of this age are greatly handicapped by having lost their natural guardians, and may suffer from the loss even more severely at this critical age than earlier in life." The Commission think that this is work which might well be done by volunteer workers :

"The evidence which we have heard convinces IV. 8, 399 us that voluntary agencies may be relied on to co-operate in this work. We think that such agencies should be recognised by the Public Assistance Authority and should report to it, and such reports should be entered on a record. We have been struck by the comparatively small attention given to the after-care of boys, and we should welcome development on the lines of those societies which deal with girls."

By far the greater number of children who are dependent, or partially dependent, upon the Poor Law are those who live at home with one or both parents ; for the most part they are the children of widows. It is with regard to those children that the Guardians have failed most signally in their work. The question is no doubt made difficult by the fact that the primary respon-

sibility lies with the parent ; but by the mere giving of relief the Guardians have recognised that they also have a responsibility, and it is a responsibility which cannot be discharged by the grant of an inadequate dole. If they intervene at all, they should intervene in such a way as to ensure that the children have a fair chance of being properly brought up. At present few Guardians seem to take any interest in the out-relief children, to know what conditions they are living under, or what is likely to become of them as they grow up. The Commission report that nowhere have they found want of uniformity and inadequacy of relief more marked than in the allowances granted for IV. 8, 408 the maintenance of children. In illustration of this they quote the following instances which they noted whilst attending Relief Committees in different parts of the country :

(1) " Altogether seventeen cases were heard and the applicants seen. The majority of these cases were widows with children, the relief being on an unusually adequate scale. Thus a widow with three children dependent and earning 14s. a week and some food, was given 7s. a week relief, bringing her total weekly income up to 22s. and food. In another case a widow with four dependent children and one boy earning 15s. a week, with a total income to the family of 25s., received 7s. a week, bringing their total income up to 32s. a week for

This recommendation is made on the ground that when a child comes to school ill-nourished, there is need for careful and sympathetic enquiry at its home as to the causes of the difficulty. The Education Authority has no machinery for making this enquiry, nor for dealing with the distress which may exist there. The relief of a child should be in the hands of an authority capable of assisting the family as a whole, that is, the authority which is responsible for public assistance.

CHAPTER V

THE ABLE-BODIED

IN the Report this subject is dealt with under two headings—The Able-Bodied under the Poor Law and Distress due to unemployment. Both in practice and theory, however, the two subjects are so closely connected that it will be convenient here to deal with them in one chapter. It might be thought, indeed, that no able-bodied man would be in economic distress and needing relief except in consequence of want of employment; but this is far from being so. In one form or another it is true that the employment question enters into all these cases, but it is complicated with many other questions which have to be taken into consideration and which make remedies very difficult of application. Unemployment is of as many kinds and due to as many causes as employment itself, and there is no one panacea which can be

